

1 BEFORE THE
2 ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

3
4 BENCH SESSION

5
6
7 Springfield, Illinois
8 Wednesday, July 29, 2009

9
10
11 Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:30 a.m. in
12 Hearing Room A, First Floor, Leland Building, 527
13 East Capitol Avenue, Springfield, Illinois

14
15 PRESENT:

16 MR. CHARLES E. BOX, Chairman

17 MS. LULA M. FORD, Commissioner

18 MS. ERIN M. O'CONNELL-DIAZ, Commissioner

19 MR. SHERMAN J. ELLIOTT, Commissioner

20
21
22 SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
 Carla J. Boehl, Reporter

1 CSR #084-002710

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 in favor say aye.

2 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

3 CHAIRMAN BOX: Any opposed?

4 The vote is 4-0 approving the minutes.

5 We will be holding Item RR-1 which is

6 Docket T07-0073 and T08-0154 Consolidated.

7 Items RR-2 through RR-7 and RR-9

8 through RR-16 will be taken together. These matters

9 are stipulated agreements to make numerous rail

10 crossing improvements throughout the State of

11 Illinois. Over \$2.5 million in the Grade Crossing

12 Protection Fund will be used. Staff recommends

13 entering the orders granting the stipulated

14 agreements.

15 Is there a motion to enter the order?

16 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: So moved.

17 CHAIRMAN BOX: Is there a second?

18 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Second.

19 CHAIRMAN BOX: Moved and seconded. All in

20 favor say aye.

21 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

22 CHAIRMAN BOX: Any opposed? Vote is 4 to 0.

1 The stipulated agreements are entered.

2 We will use this 4-0 roll call for the
3 remainder of the Transportation agenda unless
4 otherwise noted.

5 Item RR-8 is Docket T09-0060. This
6 matter is a petition to installed an at-grade
7 pedestrian crossing over tracks owned by the Illinois
8 Central Railroad Company in the village of Savoy,
9 Champaign County. No Grade Crossing Protection Funds
10 will be used. Administrative Law Judge Jackson
11 recommends entering the order granting the petition.
12 Is there any discussion? Any objections? Hearing
13 none, the order is entered.

14 Items RR-17 and RR-18 will be taken
15 together. These are requests for an extension of
16 time to complete railway crossing improvements.
17 Administrative Law Judge Jackson and Staff recommend
18 entering the third supplemental orders. Is there any
19 discussion? Any objections? Hearing none, the third
20 supplemental orders are entered.

21 Moving on to the Motor Carrier
22 Section, Items MC-1 through MC-4 will be taken

1 together. These are stipulated settlement agreements
2 with for-hire motor carriers operating without
3 Commission authority. Staff recommends accepting the
4 stipulated settlement agreements. Is there any
5 discussion? Any objections? Hearing none, the
6 stipulated agreements are accepted.

7 Item MC-5 is an application by
8 Jonathan MacIntyre for renewal of his operator's
9 employment permit. Administrative Law Judge Jackson
10 recommends entering the order granting the
11 application. Is there any discussion? Any
12 objections? Hearing none, the order is entered.

13 Items MC-6 through MC-8 will be taken
14 together. These are applications for renewal of
15 commercial relocators' licenses. Staff recommends
16 granting the renewals. Is there any discussion? Any
17 objections? Hearing none, the renewals are granted.

18 There is one administrative matter on
19 today's agenda. AM-1 is a Revised 5-year plan for
20 rail crossing improvements. Staff recommends
21 approving the plan. Is there any discussion?
22 Objections? Hearing none, the plan is approved.

1 And that concludes the Transportation
2 portion of today's agenda. Ms. Kelly, is there
3 anything else to come before us today?

4 MS. KELLY: That's all. Thank you.

5 (Whereupon the meeting
6 concluded matters pertaining to
7 Transportation.)

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

1 (Whereupon the meeting
2 turned to Public Utility
3 matters.)

4 CHAIRMAN BOX: Now moving on to the Public
5 Utility agenda. We have minutes to approve from the
6 June 4 and July 8, 2009, bench sessions and the June
7 29, 2009, special open meeting. Is there a motion to
8 approve the minutes?

9 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: So moved.

10 CHAIRMAN BOX: Is there a second?

11 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Second.

12 CHAIRMAN BOX: It's been moved and seconded.
13 All in favor say aye.

14 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

15 CHAIRMAN BOX: Any opposed? The vote is 4-0
16 approving the minutes.

17 We are holding Item E-10, and Item T-4
18 has been withdrawn.

19 We will begin with the Electric
20 agenda. E-1 (09-0342) concerns implementation of the
21 new Section 16-115D of the Public Utilities Act which
22 applies to renewable portfolio standards for ARES.

1 The Commission is required to establish alternative
2 compliance payment rates. The order establishes the
3 rates based on the recently-concluded REC procurement
4 for Commonwealth Edison Company and the Ameren
5 Illinois Utilities. Staff recommends entering the
6 order. Is there a motion to enter the order?

7 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: So moved.

8 CHAIRMAN BOX: Is there a second?

9 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Second.

10 CHAIRMAN BOX: It's been moved and seconded.

11 All in favor say aye.

12 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

13 CHAIRMAN BOX: Any opposed? The vote is 4-0.

14 The order is entered. We will use this 4-0 roll call
15 vote for the remainder of the Public Utility agenda
16 unless otherwise noted.

17 Items E-2 and E-3 will be taken
18 together. These are QF buy-back rates for Mt. Carmel
19 Public Utility Company and MidAmerican Energy Company
20 under PURPA. Staff recommends not suspending the
21 filings. Is there any discussion? Any objections?
22 Hearing none, the filings are not suspended.

1 Item E-4 is Docket 08-0174. This
2 concerns a proposal by Ameren Illinois Transmission
3 Company and the three Ameren Illinois utilities
4 engaged in borrowing from corporate parent Ameren
5 Corporation and/or pursuant to the Ameren Utility
6 Money Pool Agreement. Petitioners have moved to
7 withdraw the portion of the request involving the
8 money pool arrangement. Administrative Law Judge
9 Albers recommends entering the order granting the
10 motion to withdraw. Any discussion? Any objections?
11 Hearing none, the order is entered.

12 Items E-5 and E-8 will be taken
13 together. These cases involve storm damage
14 Commonwealth Edison experienced in 2008. Recent
15 changes in the statutes have rendered these cases
16 moot and the utility has moved to dismiss.
17 Administrative Law Judge Hilliard recommends granting
18 the motions to dismiss without prejudice. Is there
19 any discussion? Any objections? Hearing none, the
20 motions are granted without prejudice.

21 Item E-6 is Docket 08-0481. This
22 matter concerns interconnection standards for

1 generators larger than 10 MVA but smaller than or
2 equal to 20 MVA. The Commission entered a second
3 notice order on April 22, 2009, but the Joint
4 Committee on Administrative Rules has yet to act.
5 Administrative Law Judge Sainsot recommends entering
6 the order to extend the Commission's internal
7 deadline to February 10, 2010. Is there any
8 discussion? Any objections? Hearing none, the order
9 is entered.

10 Item E-7 is Docket 08-0509. This is
11 the complaint by Jim Fischer against AmerenCILCO.
12 The order finds that the complainant did not prove
13 his case. Administrative Law Judge Tapia recommends
14 entering the order denying the complaint. Is there
15 any discussion? Any objections? Hearing none, the
16 order is entered.

17 Item E-9 is Docket 08-0548. This is a
18 rulemaking to implement Section 16-115C of the Act
19 which requires agents, brokers and consultants, also
20 known as the ABCs, to be licensed. Administrative
21 Law Judge Yoder recommends entering the order
22 authorizing the submission of the second notice

1 period to the Joint Committee on Administrative
2 Rules.

3 I have circulated various amendments
4 to this order and I think all of the Commissioners
5 have recommended certain changes and amendments, and
6 these modifications go to the definitions of attempts
7 to procure and attempts to sell, taking into account
8 the concerns of the suppliers and Blue Star Energy,
9 and the revised definitions also are more in keeping
10 with the plain language and the purpose of the Act.
11 And on behalf of all of the Commissioners I offer
12 these revisions toward that end. Is there any
13 discussion on the proposed revisions? Is there a
14 motion?

15 COMMISSIONER FORD: So moved.

16 CHAIRMAN BOX: Is there a second?

17 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Second.

18 CHAIRMAN BOX: It has been moved and seconded.

19 All in favor say aye.

20 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

21 CHAIRMAN BOX: Any opposed? The vote is 4-0
22 approving the amendments.

1 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Chairman, I would
2 just like to thank all of our staff.

3 CHAIRMAN BOX: Okay, before you do that, is
4 there a motion to enter the order as amended?

5 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: So moved.

6 CHAIRMAN BOX: Is there a second?

7 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Second.

8 CHAIRMAN BOX: It has been moved and seconded
9 to enter the order as amended. All in favor say aye.

10 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

11 CHAIRMAN BOX: Any opposed? The vote is 4-0 to
12 enter the order as amended and the second notice
13 period is initiated and the rule will be sent to the
14 Joint Committee on Administrative Rules.

15 Commissioner O'Connell-Diaz.

16 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: I just would like
17 to note that our staff worked very hard on these
18 edits. I think it clarifies the order as the
19 Commission received it and approved it, and I know
20 they all worked in concert on it. So I would like to
21 thank all of our staff assistants for doing that for
22 us.

1 CHAIRMAN BOX: Further comments?

2 As indicated, we are holding Item

3 E-10.

4 Item E-11 is Docket 09-0065. This

5 matter concerns a request by FirstEnergy Solutions

6 Corporation for proprietary treatment of its 2008

7 kilowatt hour report. The Commission originally

8 granted a request for five years although recent

9 practice has been to allow confidentiality for only

10 two years. Administrative Law Judge Yoder recommends

11 that the Commission consider reopening this docket to

12 direct the administrative law judge to gather

13 additional information regarding the correct time

14 limit for confidentiality. Is there any discussion?

15 Is there a motion to reopen Docket 09-0065?

16 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: So moved.

17 CHAIRMAN BOX: Is there a second?

18 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Second.

19 CHAIRMAN BOX: It's been moved and seconded.

20 All in favor say aye.

21 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

22 CHAIRMAN BOX: Opposed? Vote is 4-0 to reopen

1 Docket 09-0065. The administrative law judge is
2 directed to gather additional information regarding
3 the appropriate time limit for confidentiality of
4 FirstEnergy's annual 2008 kilowatt hour report.

5 Item E-12 is Docket 09-0257. This is
6 an uncontested service territory adjustment between
7 Illinois Rural Electric Cooperative and AmerenCIPS.
8 Administrative Law Judge Yoder recommends entering
9 the order granting the petition. Is there any
10 discussion? Any objections? Hearing none, the order
11 is entered.

12 That concludes the Electric portion of
13 today's agenda.

14 Turning to the Natural Gas portion,
15 Item G-1 is Docket 08-0390. This matter is a billing
16 dispute between Norma Hines against Peoples Gas,
17 Light and Coke Company. The order sustains the
18 complaint in part and denies the complaint in part.
19 Administrative Law Judge Gilbert recommends entering
20 the order. Is there any discussion? Any objections?
21 Hearing none, the order is entered.

22 Item G-2 is Docket 08-0596. Albert

1 Zamora alleged that the Illinois Northern Gas Company
2 improperly shut off his service. The complainant did
3 not appear at two of the four status hearings and his
4 service has been restored. Administrative Law Judge
5 Benn recommends dismissing this docket for want of
6 prosecution with prejudice. Is there any discussion?
7 Any objections? Hearing none, the docket is
8 dismissed with prejudice.

9 Item G-3 is Docket 09-0253. This is a
10 complaint by Saul Diaz against Illinois Energy
11 Savings Corporation. The parties have settled and
12 move to dismiss. Administrative Law Judge Kimbrel
13 recommends dismissing this docket with prejudice. Is
14 there any discussion? Any objections? Hearing none,
15 the docket is dismissed with prejudice.

16 That concludes the Natural Gas portion
17 of today's agenda.

18 Turning to the Telecommunications
19 portion, Item T-1 concerns a filing by Illinois Bell
20 Telephone Company. Petitioners are seeking to extend
21 the effectiveness of the grandfathered service to
22 December 31, 2009. These filings are competitive for

1 retail and non-competitive for wholesale. Staff
2 recommends not investigating or suspending the
3 filings. Is there any discussion? Any objections?
4 Hearing none, the filings will not be investigated or
5 suspended.

6 Items T-2 and T-3 will be taken
7 together. Verizon North, Inc., and Verizon South,
8 Inc., are introducing a new business package service.
9 These are classified as non-competitive. Staff
10 recommends not suspending the filings. Is there any
11 discussion? Any objections? Hearing none, the
12 filings are not suspended.

13 Item T-4 has been withdrawn.

14 Items T-5 through T-7 and T-9 will be
15 taken together. These are petitions for
16 certifications for modifications to existing
17 certificates of various telecommunications providers.
18 The administrative law judge is recommending entering
19 the orders granting the certificates or modifications
20 to existing certificates. Is there any discussion?
21 Any objections? Hearing none, the orders are
22 entered.

1 Item T-8 is Docket 09-0278. This
2 matter is a petition by Public Wireless, Inc., to
3 withdraw its application for authority to provide
4 various telecommunication services. Administrative
5 Law Judge Benn recommends granting the motion to
6 withdraw without prejudice. Is there any discussion?
7 Any objections? Hearing none, the motion to withdraw
8 is granted.

9 Items T-10 and T-11 will be taken
10 together. These matters concern petitions to
11 withdraw or cancel certificates of authority.
12 Administrative Law Judge Benn recommends entering the
13 orders granting the request. Is there any
14 discussion? Any objections? Hearing none, the
15 orders are entered.

16 Item T-12 is Docket 06-0119. This is
17 a complaint by Annette Amelkovich against AT&T
18 Illinois which has already addressed most of the
19 complainant's concerns. However, the complaint also
20 sought financial restitution for time, aggravation
21 and credit damage. The Commission has no authority
22 to award such damages. Therefore, the order is to

1 dismiss this complaint with prejudice.

2 Administrative Law Judge Riley recommends entering
3 the order. Is there any discussion? Any objections?
4 Hearing none, the order is entered.

5 Item T-13 is Docket 09-0284. The
6 County of Randolph, Illinois, seeks to modify its
7 9-1-1 system. Administrative Law Judge Jones
8 recommends entering the order granting the petition.
9 Is there any discussion? Any objections? Hearing
10 none, the order is entered.

11 Item T-14 is Docket 09-0298. This is
12 a petition by the Universal Telephone Assistance
13 Corporation to determine the amount and form of
14 supplemental assistance provided to LECs.
15 Administrative Law Judge Yoder recommends entering
16 the order granting the requested relief. Is there
17 any discussion? Any objections? Hearing none, the
18 order is entered.

19 Item T-15 is Docket 09-0300. Illinois
20 Bell Telephone Company is seeking to require new
21 customers with unsatisfactory credit to make an
22 advance payment instead of a security deposit. To do

1 so the petitioner has requested waiver of the
2 Commission regulations. Staff and the Attorney
3 General have indicated a desire to investigate this
4 matter. Administrative Law Judge Sainsot recommends
5 entering the order commencing an investigation. Is
6 there any discussion? Any objections? Hearing none,
7 the order is entered.

8 Item T-16 is Docket 09-0255. This is
9 a new interconnection agreement between Illinois Bell
10 Telephone Company and Midwestern Telecommunications,
11 Inc. Administrative Law Judge Benn recommends
12 entering the order approving the agreement. Is there
13 any discussion? Any objections? Hearing none, the
14 order is entered.

15 That concludes the Telecommunications
16 portion of today's agenda.

17 Turning to the Water and Waste Water
18 portion, W-1 is Docket 09-0289. Sundale Utilities,
19 Inc., has a petition for authority to issue \$367,752
20 in new debt to refinance existing debt.
21 Administrative Law Judge Tapia recommends entering
22 the order approving the request. Is there any

1 discussion? Any objections? Hearing none, the order
2 is entered.

3 Item W-2 is Docket 08-0439.

4 Illinois-American Water Company has petitioned for a
5 certificate of public convenience and necessity to
6 serve certain parts of Champaign County that it is
7 not already serving. Administrative Law Judge Jones
8 recommends entering the order granting the
9 certificates. Is there any discussion? Any
10 objections? Hearing none, the order is entered.

11 That concludes the Water and Waste
12 Water portion of the agenda.

13 Under Miscellaneous on today's agenda
14 Item M-1 is Docket 09-0081. This is a rulemaking to
15 implement minimum safety standards for transportation
16 of gas and for gas pipeline facilities. The Joint
17 Committee on Administrative Rules has issued a
18 certificate of no objection. It is entering the
19 second notice period. The rule may now go into
20 effect. Administrative Law Judge Benn recommends
21 entering the order adopting the rule's amendment with
22 an effective date of August 15, 2009. Is there any

1 discussion? Any objections? Hearing none, the order
2 is entered.

3 Under Petitions for Rehearing, Item
4 PR-1 is Docket 09-0569 in which AT&T Illinois seeks
5 to reclassify as competitive several of its MSAs
6 outside of MSA-1. The Attorney General has
7 petitioned to rehear the Commission's decision on
8 measured services. Administrative Law Judge Yoder
9 recommends denying the AG's petition. Is there any
10 discussion? Is there a motion to deny the Attorney
11 General's petition?

12 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: So moved.

13 CHAIRMAN BOX: Is there a second?

14 COMMISSIONER FORD: Second.

15 CHAIRMAN BOX: It's been moved and seconded.

16 All in favor say aye.

17 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

18 CHAIRMAN BOX: Opposed? The vote is 4-0. The
19 Attorney General's petition for rehearing is denied.

20 AT&T Illinois has petitioned for
21 rehearing of the Commission's decision to condition
22 its approval on AT&T Illinois expanding the

1 availability of DSL services in the greater Illinois
2 MSAs. Administrative Law Judge Hilliard recommends
3 either eliminating the condition by issuing an
4 amended order or granting AT&T's petition to rehear
5 the issue on the DSL conditions. Is there any
6 discussion? Is there a motion to grant AT&T's
7 petition for rehearing on the conditions?

8 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: So moved.

9 CHAIRMAN BOX: Is there a second?

10 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Second.

11 CHAIRMAN BOX: It's been moved and seconded.

12 All in favor say aye.

13 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

14 CHAIRMAN BOX: Opposed? The vote is 4-0.

15 AT&T's petition for rehearing on the conditions is
16 granted.

17 The last item on the agenda is a
18 second quarter report by Liberty Consulting Group on
19 the People's Gas Pipeline Safety Program. The report
20 addresses People's Gas' progress in addressing 66
21 recommendations for improvement. Staff recommends
22 that the Commission accept the report and direct

1 staff to post the report on the Commission's website.
2 Is there a motion to accept the report and post it on
3 the Commission's website?
4 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: So moved.
5 CHAIRMAN BOX: Is there a second?
6 COMMISSIONER FORD: Second.
7 CHAIRMAN BOX: It has been moved and seconded.
8 All in favor say aye.
9 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
10 CHAIRMAN BOX: Any opposed? The vote is 4-0
11 the report accepted and will be posted on the
12 website.
13 Judge Wallace, anything else to come
14 before us today?
15 COMMISSIONER FORD: Actually, I wanted to hear
16 the report summary.
17 CHAIRMAN BOX: You have a summary of the
18 report? I am sorry.
19 MR. BURK: Good morning. I am Darin Burk, the
20 manager of the Pipeline Safety Department, and I have
21 prepared a summary of what's going on in the Liberty
22 report. I am going to cover the months March through

1 May. Liberty verifications are completed for three
2 of the 66 recommendations, primarily developing an
3 implementation of the procedure for sealing these
4 joints, approved inside safety inspection procedures
5 and training, and modified pre-qualifications.
6 Liberty confirmed progress towards implementation of
7 22 recommendations, although they are not completed.
8 They have unacceptable progress towards three of the
9 recommendations. Now, Liberty has not determined the
10 progress towards the implementation of 38
11 recommendations.

12 Upon completion of the first quarter
13 report I met with People's Gas, mainly because
14 Commissioner Ford expressed concerns of the pace of
15 their implementation. People's Gas now considers 29
16 recommendations complete. Liberty has not completed
17 their assessment and will not consider those complete
18 until they have verified the implementation and that
19 the processes are in fact working. They do report
20 significant progress towards 31 recommendations.
21 This is People's Gas stating this. Pipeline safety
22 staff, along with People's Gas, is in the process of

1 field verifying, checking on the records.

2 They admit little or no progress
3 towards six of the recommendations, but we will be
4 following up on that as we go forward.

5 CHAIRMAN BOX: I have one question for you.
6 When you say six little or no progress, is there a
7 reason for that? Is it timing or what is it?

8 MR. BURK: Part of it is working with the City
9 of Chicago and the digger. That covers two of them.
10 Training for municipal employees as far as damage
11 prevention, that's another one that they have offered
12 the training but they have had no one attend. So far
13 it's slow. That's kind of out of their control. We
14 are looking into maybe methods of persuading the
15 City, meaning the CDOT and the water department, to
16 encourage them to participate in that.

17 Others are related to methodic
18 protection issues on testing and electrical isolation
19 of carrier pipe from casing pipe. And then others
20 are just training guidelines that need to be
21 developed and implemented. So overall the true
22 safety-related issues as we find critical are being

1 addressed.

2 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: What are the three
3 unacceptable progress that Liberty pointed out? Do
4 you know those?

5 MR. BURK: Okay, unacceptable progress was cast
6 iron replacement policies. They need to rewrite
7 their policies as far as how they choose their cast
8 iron replacement projects. Development and implement
9 of training for the Chicago municipal workers and
10 contractors, and development and implement of a
11 procedure for monitoring directional boring
12 activities. Again, they have to work with the City
13 and the digger on that issue as well.

14 CHAIRMAN BOX: On the first one you said the
15 whole thing of, would that be so much prioritizing
16 cast iron replacement?

17 MR. BURK: Yes.

18 CHAIRMAN BOX: Because that was one of the
19 issues, I think, in a former rate case where they
20 asked for a rider to recoup that money. One of the
21 reasons we said no was the fact that there was no
22 schedule or design or layout of when those

1 improvements or replacements would be made in order
2 for that rider to be put into effect. So you would
3 think they would comply with that one above and
4 beyond any of the others.

5 MR. BURK: We are hoping they make some
6 improvements. But the current method is using a main
7 ranking index system along with public improvement
8 projects in the city of Chicago. Unfortunately, I
9 have been told that the downturn in the economy is
10 going to require them to reduce their replacement
11 progress from 46 miles a year to down around 16.
12 They just don't have the money to invest in the
13 system, according to what I have been told in these.
14 I am working with a vice president of operations to
15 see if we can alter that schedule.

16 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Darin, is there a
17 schedule that the company is aware that we want
18 compliance by and that you have had how many audits
19 with other companies and we have gotten a schedule
20 and pretty well stuck with the schedule?

21 MR. BURK: We have an outline for compliance of
22 each recommendation that People's has committed to.

1 Of course, things come up and they fall behind. But
2 it is implemented based on this schedule agreed to
3 between our department, Liberty and People's Gas.

4 COMMISSIONER FORD: But most of the problems
5 occur when they have collaborated with the City.

6 MR. BURK: That's where most of the delays are
7 right now, yes.

8 COMMISSIONER FORD: The next time I see the
9 mayor, I will tell him that.

10 MR. BURK: I would appreciate that.

11 CHAIRMAN BOX: Can I be notified when you are
12 about to make that statement?

13 Anything further? Any other
14 questions? Thank you very much.

15 Judge Wallace, anything else to come
16 before us today?

17 COMMISSIONER FORD: Thank you, Mr. Burk.

18 MR. WALLACE: No, Mr. Chairman.

19 CHAIRMAN BOX: We have an eleven o'clock today.
20 That's about a five-minute break. We have the
21 electric policy committee meeting to address the
22 pending applications by Commonwealth Edison Company

1 and the Ameren Illinois Utilities for the stimulus
2 funding under the American Recovery and Investment
3 Act. If nothing further here, we will adjourn for
4 about five minutes. We will reconvene at that time.

5 COMMISSION BENCH SESSION ADJOURNED

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22